



# **Planning Working Group**

Thursday 23 May 2024 14:00 – 16:15

# **Minutes**

### Updates on actions following Regen planning event

- Regen highlighted <u>its response</u> to DLUHC consultation on an 'accelerated planning system', which did not mention renewables.
- Regen was <u>invited to speak</u> to the Parliamentary Renewable and Sustainable Energy
  Group (PRASEG) about planning and community acceptance. The group discussed MPs
  promoting incorrect information. Regen is working with PRASEG on a myth-busting
  document addressing misinformation.
- Regen updated on a recent meeting with DESNZ officials to discuss the Strategy Spatial Energy Plan (SSEP).
- Regen highlighted its <u>insight paper</u> setting out key issues on local planning reform and recommendations.

#### **Actions:**

- Members are to send any misinformation they want addressed in a mythbusting document to Regen.
- Members to feed in thinking and resources from planning applications for renewable energy and battery storage projects.
- Rebecca Windemer (RW) to share a draft myth-busting document with members for review.
- RW to invite DESNZ contact to update members on SSEP at the next working group.

# Attitudes to public engagement - local opposition research - Bertie Wnek (BW), Public First

- BW presented research investigating local attitudes to renewable energy projects.
- A question was asked whether people feel ignored if they provide feed back and this
  doesn't result in changes. BW responded that it's important to follow up and be clear
  about why.
- A question was asked about how people want to be engaged. An example was given of a
  poorly attended public consultation. It was commented that the sector is not doing
  enough to reach young people and there should be more online engagement. A modern
  digital marketing approach is needed since people are often too busy to attend events.
  Attending existing local events can be better too.

- A question was asked about the engagement of local councillors. BW responded that some people have limited trust in local representatives, so wider consultation is important. Comment regarding the need to use trusted local champions in the community as messengers separate from politicians.
- A question was asked about the impact of community benefits and whether people
  expect them. BW responded that public ownership and community benefits are
  important. They are not always expected, but the downsides of not having them can be
  severe if there's poor engagement, and the upsides are real regarding support for
  developments. It was commented that consultation on desired community benefits can
  lead to tangible results for residents.
- An example of a popular community benefit fund run by an independent company was
  given. In addition, the developer is investigating a new near neighbourhood fund to
  contribute to the energy bills of the nearest neighbours to a site. Given the
  administrative burden of having residents share bills, this may end up an unrestricted
  payment to residents.
- Comment that the forthcoming myth-busting document will be useful for residents as well as MPs
- Comment that engagement of wider community such as children and faith organisations is impactful.

#### **Actions:**

- Emily Bell to share an example of engaging SSE digital content with the working group
- Members to email Hannah if they would like further information from Bertie Wnek

**Update on fire safety and environmental permitting –** Olly Frankland, Electricity Storage Network

- An update was provided on forthcoming National Fire Chiefs Council (NFCC) guidance that is expected to be published in the Summer.
- OF informed members about a pre-consultation industry workshop planned with Defra.
   This is ahead of a new environmental permitting process for battery storage sites being developed. The workshop is likely to be delayed due to the election announcement.

# **Actions:**

 Members to contact OF if interested in joining a workshop with Defra on a new environmental permitting process – this will be delayed due to the election. <u>Email</u> <u>Olly</u> to register interest.

Round table with industry and priority discussion for planning working group

Several themes emerged from the round table as key areas.

- Many members referenced education and myth-busting. It was commented that
  engaging content and resources is crucial to inform planning committees and
  communities. Misinformation is pervasive across the sector. The forthcoming Regen /
  PRASEG myth-busting document and Solar Energy UK's factsheet will be useful. It was
  commented that myth-busting should also be interactive and appropriate for
  online/social media, i.e. video content.
- A lack of resources in local planning authorities was flagged a number of times. A
  question was asked about the possibility of private sector secondments into planning
  authorities. There was an identified need to explore different options for addressing this
  challenge.
- A number of participants identified the need for planning reform to bring the local level
  planning process for renewables more in line with the NSIP process in terms of the
  priority given to renewables. Support for developers regarding onshore wind in England
  was also requested, reflecting a recommendation in the Regen planning insight paper. A
  question was asked about the potential for replicating Scotland's Onshore Sector Deal
  in England. It was commented that a new government should be engaged in supporting
  the sector and barriers to renewable projects on 'best and most versatile agricultural
  land' (BMVAL) challenged.
- Better community engagement and community benefits were fagged. The need was expressed for knowledge sharing on best practices for community engagement and community benefits options. A question was asked whether this could take the form of a standard or guidance document. It was also suggested that this group could be used to share best practice. It was noted that Solar Energy UK now specify a minimum £400 per MW for solar PV.
- Grid reform was also flagged as a concern. Members highlighted the impact of TMO4+, which could lead to more pressure on the planning system and timeframes associated. This challenge links to the local authority resourcing challenges.
- Fire safety was also referenced. A need was expressed for battery storage specific myth-busting and clarity on the content and impact of the new NFCC guidance expected for publication in the Summer.

#### **Actions:**

- Lucy James, EQ communications to work with Regen to start the development of a best practice guide for community engagement, including online and social media options.
- John Arthur, TLT to put together a list of key planning statements that are a barrier for new projects (e.g. Eric Pickles statement in 2015)
- Members to speak to Rachel or Rebecca about registering interest for chair or vicechair role in the Planning working group.

The next working group meeting will be online from 14.00-15.30 on Tuesday 10 September.

Book online here